arXiv:1301.6101v2 [math-ph] 28 Jan 2013

A UNIFIED QUANTUM THEORY II: GRAVITY INTERACTING WITH YANG-MILLS AND SPINOR FIELDS

CLAUS GERHARDT

Abstract. We quantize the interaction of gravity with Yang-Mills and spinor fields, hence offering a quantum theory incorporating all four fundamental forces of nature. Using canonical quantization we obtain solutions of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation in a vector bundle and the method of second quantization leads to a symplectic vector space (V, ω) and a corresponding CCR representation. We also define a net of local subalgebras which satisfy four of the Haag-Kastler axioms.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Definitions and notations 5
3. Spinor fields 6
4. Quantization of the Lagrangian 10
5. The method of second quantization 19
6. The Haag-Kastler axioms 23
References 25

1. Introduction

A unified quantum theory incorporating the four fundamental forces of nature is one of the major open problems in physics. The Standard Model combines electro-magnetism, the strong force and the weak force, but ignores gravity. The quantization of gravity is therefore a necessary first step to achieve a unified quantum theory.

The Einstein equations are the Euler-Lagrange equations of the Einstein-Hilbert functional and quantization of a Lagrangian theory requires to switch from a Lagrangian view to a Hamiltonian view. In a ground breaking paper, Arnowitt, Deser and Misner [1] expressed the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian

---

Date: January 29, 2013.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 83,83C,83C45.

Key words and phrases. globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold, quantum gravity, Yang-Mills field, spinor field, standard model, unification, unified quantum theory, Haag-Kastler axioms, CCR representation.

in a form which allowed to derive a corresponding Hamilton function by applying the Legendre transformation. However, since the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian is singular, the Hamiltonian description of gravity is only correct if two additional constraints are satisfied, namely, the Hamilton constraint and the diffeomorphism constraint. Dirac [8] proved how to quantize a constrained Hamiltonian system—at least in principle—and his method has been applied to the Hamiltonian setting of gravity, cf. the paper of DeWitt [6] and the monographs by Kiefer [13] and Thiemann [15]. In the general case, when arbitrary globally hyperbolic spacetime metrics are allowed, the problem turned out to be extremely difficult and solutions could only be found by assuming a high degree of symmetry.

However, we recently achieved the quantization of gravity for general hyperbolic spacetimes, cf. [11], and, in a subsequent paper [10], we developed a unified quantum theory for the interaction of gravity with a Yang-Mills field.

Using these results we are able to treat the interaction of gravity with Yang-Mills and spinor fields thereby offering a unified quantum theory for all four fundamental forces. Though we only consider the interaction of gravity with one Yang-Mills and one spinor field the inclusion of additional independent fields poses no problem.

We look at the Lagrangian functional

$$\begin{aligned} J = \alpha_M^{-1}\int_{\tilde\Omega}(\bar R - 2\varLambda) &- \int_{\tilde\Omega}\tfrac14\gamma_{\bar a\bar b}\bar g^{\mu\rho_2}\bar g^{\lambda\rho_1}F^{\bar a}_{\mu\rho_1}F^{\bar b}_{\rho_2\lambda}\\ &- \int_{\tilde\Omega}\{\tfrac12\bar g^{\mu\lambda}\gamma_{\bar a\bar b}\varPhi^{\bar a}_\mu\bar\varPhi^{\bar b}_\lambda + V(\varPhi)\}\\ &+ \int_{\tilde\Omega}\{\tfrac12[\tilde\psi_I E^\mu_a\gamma^a(D_\mu\psi)^I + \overline{\tilde\psi_I E^\mu_a\gamma^a(D_\mu\psi)^I}] + m\tilde\psi_I\psi^I\},\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where α_M is a positive coupling constant, $\tilde\Omega \Subset N = N^{n+1}$ and N a globally hyperbolic spacetime with metric $\bar g_{\alpha\beta}$, $0 \le \alpha, \beta \le n$.

As we proved in [11] we may only consider metrics $\bar g_{\alpha\beta}$ that split with respect to some fixed globally defined time function x^0 such that

$$d\bar s^2 = -w^2(dx^0)^2 + g_{ij}dx^i dx^j \tag{1.2}$$

where $g_{ij}(x^0,\cdot)$ are Riemannian metrics in $\mathcal{S}_0$,

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{x^0 = 0\}. \tag{1.3}$$

The functional J consists of the Einstein-Hilbert functional, the Yang-Mills and Higgs functional and a massive Dirac term. Instead of the potential

$$m\tilde\psi_I\psi^I \tag{1.4}$$

we could have considered an arbitrary potential as long as it is quadratic in ψ and real.

The Yang-Mills field (A_μ)

$$A_\mu = f_{\bar c}A^{\bar c}_\mu \tag{1.5}$$

corresponds to the adjoint representation of a compact, semi-simple Lie group $\mathcal{G}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The $f_{\bar c}$,

$$f_{\bar c} = (f^{\bar a}_{\bar c \bar b}) \tag{1.6}$$

are the structural constants of $\mathfrak{g}$.

We assume the Higgs field $\Phi = (\Phi^{\bar a})$ to have complex valued components.

The spinor field $\psi = (\psi^I_A)$ has a spinor index A, $1 \le A \le n_1$, and a colour index I, $1 \le I \le n_2$. Here, we suppose that the Lie group has a unitary representation R such that

$$t_{\bar c} = R(f_{\bar c}) \tag{1.7}$$

are antihermitian matrices acting on $\mathbb{C}^{n_2}$. The symbol $A_\mu \psi$ is now defined by

$$A_\mu \psi = t_{\bar c} \psi A^{\bar c}_\mu \tag{1.8}$$

In our previous papers we used canonical quantization to obtain a first quantization leading to the Wheeler-DeWitt equation

$$Hu = 0, \tag{1.9}$$

where the Hamiltonian H is a symmetric, normally hyperbolic differential operator in a bundle E with base space $\mathcal{S}_0$ and fibers which were considered to be globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifolds equipped with a Lorentzian metric which was composed of the DeWitt metric and further Riemannian metrics resulting from the presence of the Yang-Mills and Higgs fields.

In a second step we had to use the method of second quantization to develop a quantum field theory for the solutions of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation leading to a real symplectic vector space (V, ω) and a corresponding CCR representation. The CCR representation could be defined by a quantum field Φ_M, where $M \subset E$ was a Cauchy hypersurface.

In the present paper we follow this approach. First, we prove in Section 3 that the Dirac Lagrangian L_D (without the integration density) can be expressed in the form

$$\begin{aligned} L_D = {} & \tfrac{i}{2}(\bar\chi_I \dot\chi^I - \dot{\bar\chi}^I \chi_I) w^{-1} \varphi^{-1} + mi\bar\chi_I \gamma^0 \chi^I \varphi^{-1} \\ & - \tfrac{i}{2}\{\bar\chi_I \gamma^0 E^k_{a'} \gamma^{a'} \tilde D_k \chi^I - \overline{\bar\chi_I \gamma^0 E^k_{a'} \gamma^{a'} \tilde D_k \chi^I}\} \varphi^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

Here, we fixed a Riemann metric ρ_{ij} on $\mathcal{S}_0$ and defined the function φ on N by

$$\varphi^2 = \frac{\det(g_{ij})}{\det(\rho_{ij})}, \tag{1.11}$$

where the spacetime metric has the form as in (1.2).

The spinor field $\chi = (\chi^I_A)$ is defined by

$$\chi = \sqrt{\varphi}\psi. \tag{1.12}$$

Applying Casalbuoni's results in [4] and [5] we obtain a corresponding Hamilton function

$$\begin{aligned} H_D=\tfrac{i}{2}\{\bar\chi_I\gamma^0E^k_{a'}\gamma^{a'}\tilde D_k\chi^I-\overline{\bar\chi_I\gamma^0E^k_{a'}\gamma^{a'}\tilde D_k\chi^I}\}\\ -mi\bar\chi_I\gamma^0\chi^I,\end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

where $\bar\chi^A_I$ and χ^J_B satisfy the anticommutation rules

$$\{\bar\chi^A_I,\chi^J_B\}^*_+=-i\delta^J_I\delta^A_B. \tag{1.14}$$

Canonical quantization—with $\hbar=1$—then requires that the corresponding operators $\hat\chi^I_A$, $\hat{\bar\chi}^B_J$ satisfy the anticommutative rules

$$[\hat\chi^I_A,\hat{\bar\chi}^B_J]_+=i\{\chi^I_A,\bar\chi^B_J\}^*_+=\delta^I_J\delta^B_A \tag{1.15}$$

and

$$[\hat{\bar\chi}^A_I,\hat{\bar\chi}^B_J]_+=[\hat\chi^I_A,\hat\chi^J_B]_+=0. \tag{1.16}$$

We realize these quantum rules in the Grassmann algebra

$$\mathcal P=\mathcal P(\chi^I_A) \tag{1.17}$$

consisting of polynomial functions

$$u=a_0+\sum_{k,m}a^{A_1\cdots A_m}_{I_1\cdots I_k}\chi^{I_1\cdots I_k}_{A_1\cdots A_m}, \tag{1.18}$$

where the coefficients are complex numbers being asymmetric in their indices, by defining

$$\bar\chi^A_I=\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi^I_A} \tag{1.19}$$

to be the left derivative. The space $\mathcal P$ is also endowed with a natural Hermitian scalar product.

After quantization the Hamilton operator H_D can be viewed as a self-adjoint operator in the finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal P$.

We then define two bundles. The first bundle E is essentially the bundle we already used in [10] with base space $\mathcal S_0$ and fibers

$$\mathcal F(x)=F(x)\times(\mathfrak g\otimes T^{0,1}_x(\mathcal S_0))\times\mathfrak g\times\mathfrak g. \tag{1.20}$$

The second bundle is a vector bundle $\hat E$ with base space E and fiber $\mathcal P$.

The common Hamilton function has the form

$$\begin{aligned} H&=H_G+H_{YM}+H_H+H_D\\ &\equiv\tilde H+H_D,\end{aligned} \tag{1.21}$$

and after quantization it will be transformed to a symmetric, normally hyperbolic differential operator H acting only in the fibers of E. H looks like

$$H=-\varDelta+c, \tag{1.22}$$

where H_D is part of the zero order term c and H is defined for sections $u \in C_c^\infty(E, \hat{E})$. The solution space of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation

$$Hu = 0 \tag{1.23}$$

is identical with

$$G(C_c^\infty(E, \hat{E})), \tag{1.24}$$

where G is the Green's operator.

The method of second quantization leads to a CCR representation of a symplectic vector space (V, ω) and each Cauchy hypersurface $M \subset E$ defines a quantum field $\varPhi_M$ such that

$$W([u]) = e^{i\varPhi_M(u)}, \qquad [u] \in V, \tag{1.25}$$

is a Weyl system for (V, ω).

These results are proved in Section 5. In the last section we define local subalgebras and prove that they satisfy four Haag-Kastler axioms.

2. Definitions and notations

Greek indices α, β range from 0 to n, Latin i, j, k from 1 to n and we stipulate $0 \le a, b \le n$ but $1 \le a', b' \le n$. Barred indices $\bar{a}$ refer to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, $1 \le \bar{a} \le n_0 = \dim \mathfrak{g}$.

$\gamma_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}$ is the Cartan-Killing metric.

The Dirac matrices are denoted by γ^a and they satisfy

$$\gamma^a \gamma^b + \gamma^b \gamma^a = 2\eta^{ab} I, \tag{2.1}$$

where η_{ab} is the Minkowski metric with signature $(-, +, \dots, +)$. γ^0 is antihermitian and $\gamma^{a'}$ Hermitian.

The indices a, b are always raised or lowered with the help of the Minkowski metric, Greek indices with the help of the spacetime metric $\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}$.

The γ^a act in

$$\mathbb{C}^{2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}, \tag{2.2}$$

if n is odd and in

$$\mathbb{C}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}}}, \tag{2.3}$$

if n is even. In both cases we simply refer to these spaces as

$$\mathbb{C}^{n_1}, \tag{2.4}$$

i.e., the spinor index A has range $1 \le A \le n_1$.

The colour index I has range $1 \le I \le n_2$ and hence a spinor field ψ^I_A has values in

$$\mathbb{C}^{n_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_2}. \tag{2.5}$$

Finally, a Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is antihermitian in the first argument.

3. Spinor fields

The Lagrangian of the spinor field is stated in (1.1). Here, $\psi = (\psi^I_A)$ is a multiplet of spinors with spin $\frac{1}{2}$; A is the spinor index, $1 \le A \le n_1$, and I, $1 \le I \le n_2$, the *colour* index. We shall also lower or raise the index I with the help of the Euclidean metric (δ_{IJ}).

Let $\varGamma_\mu$ be the spinor connection

$$\varGamma_\mu = \tfrac{1}{4}\omega_\mu{}^b{}_a \gamma_b\gamma^a, \tag{3.1}$$

then the covariant derivative $D_\mu\psi$ is defined by

$$D_\mu\psi = \psi_{,\mu} + \varGamma_\mu\psi + A_\mu\psi. \tag{3.2}$$

Let (e^b_λ) be a n-bein such that

$$\bar g_{\mu\lambda} = \eta_{ab} e^a_\mu e^b_\lambda, \tag{3.3}$$

where (η_{ab}) is the Minkowski metric, and let (E^μ_a) be its inverse

$$E^\mu_a = \eta_{ab}\bar g^{\mu\lambda} e^b_\lambda, \tag{3.4}$$

cf. [9, p. 246].

The covariant derivative of E^α_a with respect to $(\bar g_{\alpha\beta})$ is then given by

$$E^\alpha_{a;\mu} = E^\alpha_{a,\mu} + \bar\varGamma^\alpha_{\mu\beta} E^\beta_a \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\omega_\mu{}^b{}_a = E^\lambda_{a;\mu} e^b_\lambda = -E^\lambda_a e^b_{\lambda;\mu}, \tag{3.6}$$

hence the spin connection $\varGamma_\mu$ can be expressed as

$$\varGamma_\mu = \tfrac{1}{4}\omega_\mu{}^b{}_a\gamma_b\gamma^a = \tfrac{1}{4}E^\lambda_{a;\mu}e^b_\lambda\gamma_b\gamma^a = -\tfrac{1}{4}E^\lambda_a e^b_{\lambda;\mu}\gamma_b\gamma^a. \tag{3.7}$$

We shall first show:

3.1. **Lemma.** *Let $\bar g_{\alpha\beta}$ be a fixed spacetime metric that is split by the time function x^0, then there exists an orthonormal frame (e^a_λ) such that*

$$e^0_k = 0, \qquad 1 \le k \le n, \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$e^{a'}_{k;0} = e^{a'}_{,0} - \bar\varGamma^\lambda_{k0} e^{a'}_\lambda = 0 \tag{3.9}$$

for all $1 \le a' \le n$ and $1 \le k \le n$.

Proof. Assume that

$$\bar g_{00} = -w^2, \tag{3.10}$$

then define the conformal metric

$$\tilde g_{\alpha\beta} = w^{-2}\bar g_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{3.11}$$

The curves

$$(\gamma^\alpha(t,x)) = (t, x^i), \qquad x \in \mathcal{S}_0, \tag{3.12}$$

are then geodesics with respect to $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$. Let $(\hat{e}^{a'}_\lambda)$, $1 \le a' \le n$, be an orthonormal frame in $T^{0,1}(\mathcal{S}_0) \hookrightarrow T^{0,1}(N)$ such that

$$\hat{e}^{a'}_0 = 0 \qquad \forall\, 1 \le a' \le n. \tag{3.13}$$

The $\hat{e}^{a'}$ depend on $x = (x^i) \in \mathcal{S}_0$. Let $(\tilde{e}^{a'}_\lambda)(t,x)$ be the solutions of the flow equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D}{dt}\tilde{e}^{a'}_\lambda &= 0, \\ \tilde{e}^{a'}_\lambda(0,x) &= \hat{e}^{a'}_\lambda(x), \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

i.e., we parallel transport $\hat{e}^{a'}$ along the geodesics. Setting

$$(\tilde{e}^0_\lambda) = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{3.15}$$

the $(\tilde{e}^a_\lambda)$ are then an orthonormal frame of 1-forms in $(N, \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta})$ such that the $\tilde{e}^a$ satisfy

$$\tilde{e}^a_{\lambda:0} = 0 \qquad \forall\, 0 \le a \le n, \tag{3.16}$$

where we indicate covariant differentiation with respect to $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ by a colon.

Define e^a_λ by

$$e^a_\lambda = w\tilde{e}^a_\lambda, \tag{3.17}$$

then the e^a_λ are orthonormal frames in $(N, \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta})$. The Christoffel symbols $\bar{\varGamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ resp. $\tilde{\varGamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ are related by the formula

$$\bar{\varGamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{\varGamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} - w^{-1}w_\alpha\delta^\gamma_\beta + w^{-1}w_\beta\delta^\gamma_\alpha - w^{-1}\breve{w}^\gamma\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$\breve{w}^\gamma = \tilde{g}^{\gamma\lambda}w_\lambda. \tag{3.19}$$

In view of (3.16) we then infer

$$0 = \tilde{e}^{a'}_{j:0} = \dot{\tilde{e}}^{a'}_j - \tilde{\varGamma}^k_{0j}\tilde{e}^{a'}_k \tag{3.20}$$

and we deduce further

$$\begin{aligned} e^{a'}_{j;0} &= \dot{w}\tilde{e}^{a'}_j + w\dot{\tilde{e}}^{a'}_j - \bar{\varGamma}^k_{0j}w\tilde{e}^{a'}_k \\ &= \dot{w}\tilde{e}^{a'}_j + \tilde{\varGamma}^k_{0j}w\tilde{e}^{a'}_k - \bar{\varGamma}^k_{0j}w\tilde{e}^{a'}_k \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

because of (3.18). ☐

Subsequently we shall always use these particular orthonormal frames.

We are now able to simplify the expressions for the spin connections

$$\varGamma_\mu = -\tfrac{1}{4}E^\lambda_a e^b_{\lambda;\mu}\gamma_a\gamma^b. \tag{3.22}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 4\mathit{\Gamma}_0 &= -E^\lambda_a e^b_{\lambda;0}\gamma_b\gamma^a \\ &= -E^\lambda_a e^0_{\lambda;0}\gamma_0\gamma^a - E^\lambda_a e^{b'}_{\lambda;0}\gamma_{b'}\gamma^a \\ &= -E^0_0 e^0_{0;0}\gamma_0\gamma^0 - E^i_{a'} e^0_{i;0}\gamma_0\gamma^{a'} - E^0_0 e^{b'}_{0;0}\gamma_{b'}\gamma^0 - E^i_{a'} e^{b'}_{i;0}\gamma_{b'}\gamma^{a'} \\ &= -E^i_{a'} e^0_{i;0}\gamma_0\gamma^{a'} - E^0_0 e^{b'}_{0;0}\gamma_{b'}\gamma^0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

in view of Lemma 3.1 and the fact that

$$e^0_{0;0} = 0. \tag{3.24}$$

The matrices $\gamma_0\gamma^{a'}$ and $\gamma_{b'}\gamma^0$ are hermitian, since γ^0 is antihermitean, $\gamma^{a'}$ hermitean and there holds

$$\gamma_0\gamma^{a'} = -\gamma^{a'}\gamma_0. \tag{3.25}$$

Hence, the quadratic form

$$\tilde{\psi} E^0_a \gamma^a \mathit{\Gamma}_0 \psi = -iE^0_0 \bar{\psi}\mathit{\Gamma}_0\psi \tag{3.26}$$

is imaginary and will be eliminated by adding its complex conjugate. $\mathit{\Gamma}_0$ can therefore be ignored which we shall indicate by writing

$$\mathit{\Gamma}_0 \simeq 0. \tag{3.27}$$

A similar notation should apply to other terms that will be cancelled when adding the complex conjugates.

Let us consider $\mathit{\Gamma}_k$:

$$\begin{aligned} 4\mathit{\Gamma}_k &= -E^\lambda_a e^b_{\lambda;k}\gamma_b\gamma^a \\ &= -E^\lambda_a e^0_{\lambda;k}\gamma_0\gamma^a - E^\lambda_a e^{b'}_{\lambda;k}\gamma_{b'}\gamma^a \\ &= -E^0_0 e^0_{0;k}\gamma_0\gamma^0 - E^i_{a'} e^0_{i;k}\gamma_0\gamma^{a'} - E^0_0 e^{b'}_{0;k}\gamma_{b'}\gamma^0 - E^i_{a'} e^{b'}_{i;k}\gamma_{b'}\gamma^{a'}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

The first term on the right-hand side vanishes, since

$$e^0_{0;k} = w_k - \bar{\mathit{\Gamma}}^0_{0k} w = 0. \tag{3.29}$$

Furthermore, there holds

$$e^0_{i;k} = -\bar{\mathit{\Gamma}}^0_{ik} w = -\tfrac{1}{2}\dot{g}_{ik} w^{-1} \tag{3.30}$$

and

$$e^{b'}_{0;k} = -\bar{\mathit{\Gamma}}^j_{0k} e^{b'}_j = -\tfrac{1}{2} g^{lj}\dot{g}_{kl} e^{b'}_j, \tag{3.31}$$

yielding

$$\begin{aligned} 4\mathit{\Gamma}_k &= \tfrac{1}{2}\dot{g}_{ik} w^{-1} E^i_{a'}\gamma_0\gamma^{a'} + \tfrac{1}{2} w^{-1} g^{lj}\dot{g}_{kl} e^{b'}_i \gamma_{b'}\gamma^0 - E^i_{a'} e^{b'}_{i;k}\gamma_{b'}\gamma^{a'} \\ &= w^{-1}\dot{g}_{ik} E^i_{a'}\gamma_0\gamma^{a'} - E^i_{a'} e^{b'}_{i;k}\gamma_{b'}\gamma^{a'}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

since

$$\gamma_0\gamma^{a'} = -\gamma^{a'}\gamma_0. \tag{3.33}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (3.32) has to be eliminated because of the presence of $\dot{g}_{ik}$. To achieve this fix a Riemannian metric $\rho_{ij} = \rho_{ij}(x) \in T^{0,2}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ and define the function φ by

$$\varphi = \sqrt{\frac{\det g_{ij}}{\det \rho_{ij}}} \tag{3.34}$$

and the spinors $\chi = (\chi^i_A)$ by

$$\chi = \sqrt{\varphi}\psi, \tag{3.35}$$

then

$$\dot{\chi} = \sqrt{\varphi}\dot{\psi} + \tfrac{1}{4}g^{ij}\dot{g}_{ij}\chi \tag{3.36}$$

and

$$\chi_{,k} = \tfrac{1}{2}\varphi_k\varphi^{-1/2}\chi + \sqrt{\varphi}\psi_{,k}. \tag{3.37}$$

Looking at the real part of the quadratic form

$$i\tilde{\chi}E^k_{a'}\gamma^{a'}\chi_{,k} \tag{3.38}$$

we deduce that

$$\chi_{,k} \simeq \sqrt{\varphi}\psi_{,k}. \tag{3.39}$$

Moreover, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} i\tilde{\psi}E^k_{c'}\gamma^{c'}\mathit{\Gamma}_k\psi &= i\bar{\psi}E^k_{c'}\gamma^0\gamma^{c'}\mathit{\Gamma}_k\psi \\ &= \tfrac{1}{4}i\bar{\psi}E^k_{c'}E^j_{a'}w^{-1}\dot{g}_{jk}\gamma^0\gamma^{c'}\gamma_0\gamma^{a'}\psi \\ &\quad - \tfrac{1}{4}i\bar{\psi}E^k_{c'}E^j_{a'}e^{b'}_{j;k}\gamma^0\gamma^{c'}\gamma_{b'}\gamma^{a'}\psi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

We now observe that

$$\gamma^0\gamma^{c'}\gamma_0\gamma^{a'} = -\gamma^0\gamma_0\gamma^{c'}\gamma^{a'} = -\gamma^{c'}\gamma^{a'}, \tag{3.41}$$

hence

$$E^k_{c'}E^j_{a'}\gamma^0\gamma^{c'}\gamma_0\gamma^{a'} = -E^k_{c'}E^j_{a'}\gamma^{c'}\gamma^{a'} = -g^{jk} \tag{3.42}$$

and we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} i\tilde{\psi}E^\mu_c\gamma^c D_\mu\psi\varphi \simeq{}& -i\bar{\chi}\dot{\chi}w^{-1} \\ &+ i\bar{\chi}E^k_{c'}\gamma^0\gamma^{c'}\{\chi_{,k} - \tfrac{1}{4}E^j_{a'}e^{b'}_{j;k}\gamma_{b'}\gamma^{a'}\chi + A_k\chi\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

3.2. **Remark.** The term in the braces is the covariant derivative of χ with respect to the spin connection $\tilde{\mathit{\Gamma}}_k$

$$\tilde{\mathit{\Gamma}}^{b'}_{ka'} = \tfrac{1}{4}\tilde{\omega}^{b'}_{ka'} = -\tfrac{1}{4}E^j_{a'}e^{b'}_{j;k}\gamma_{b'}\gamma^{a'} \tag{3.44}$$

and the Yang-Mills connection (A_μ) satisfying $A_0 = 0$ such that

$$\tilde{D}_k\chi = \chi_{,k} + \tilde{\mathit{\Gamma}}_k\chi + A_k\chi. \tag{3.45}$$

The gauge transformations for both the Yang-Mills connection as well as for the spin connection do not depend on x^0 but only on $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$. In case of the

Yang-Mills connection this has already been proved in [10, Lemma 2.6] while the proof for the spin connection $\tilde{\varGamma}_k$ will be given in the next section.

Summarizing the preceding results we obtain:

3.3. **Lemma.** *The Dirac Lagrangian can be expressed in the form*

$$\begin{aligned} L_D = {} & \tfrac{i}{2}(\bar\chi_I\dot\chi^I - \dot{\bar\chi}^I\chi_I)w^{-1}\varphi^{-1} + mi\bar\chi_I\gamma^0\chi^I\varphi^{-1} \\ & - \tfrac{i}{2}\{\bar\chi_I\gamma^0E^k_{a'}\gamma^{a'}\tilde D_k\chi^I - \overline{\bar\chi_I\gamma^0E^k_{a'}\gamma^{a'}\tilde D_k\chi^I}\}\varphi^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

where χ *and* $\tilde D_k$ *are defined in* (3.35) *resp.* (3.45).

4. Quantization of the Lagrangian

We consider the functional

$$\begin{aligned} J = {} & \alpha_M^{-1}\int_{\tilde\varOmega}(\bar R - 2\varLambda) - \int_{\tilde\varOmega}\tfrac14\gamma_{\bar a\bar b}\bar g^{\mu\rho_2}\bar g^{\lambda\rho_1}F^{\bar a}_{\mu\rho_1}F^{\bar b}_{\rho_2\lambda} \\ & - \int_{\tilde\varOmega}\{\tfrac12\bar g^{\mu\lambda}\gamma_{\bar a\bar b}\varPhi^{\bar a}_\mu\bar\varPhi^{\bar b}_\lambda + V(\varPhi)\} \\ & + \int_{\tilde\varOmega}\{\tfrac12[\tilde\psi_I E^\mu_a\gamma^a(D_\mu\psi)^I + \overline{\tilde\psi_I E^\mu_a\gamma^a(D_\mu\psi)^I}] + m\tilde\psi_I\psi^I\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where α_M is a positive coupling constant and $\tilde\varOmega \Subset N$.

We use the action principle that, for an arbitrary $\tilde\varOmega$ as above, a solution $(A, \varPhi, \psi, \bar g)$ should be a stationary point of the functional with respect to compact variations. This principle requires no additional surface terms for the functional.

As we proved in [11] we may only consider metrics $\bar g_{\alpha\beta}$ that split with respect to some fixed globally defined time function x^0 such that

$$d\bar s^2 = -w^2(dx^0)^2 + g_{ij}dx^i dx^j \tag{4.2}$$

where $g(x^0, \cdot)$ are Riemannian metrics in $\mathcal{S}_0$,

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{x^0 = 0\}. \tag{4.3}$$

The first functional on the right-hand side of (4.1) can be written in the form

$$\alpha_N^{-1}\int_a^b\int_\varOmega\{\tfrac14 G^{ij,kl}\dot g_{ij}\dot g_{kl} + R - 2\varLambda\}w\varphi, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$G^{ij,kl} = \tfrac12\{g^{ik}g^{jl} + g^{il}g^{jk}\} - g^{ij}g^{kl} \tag{4.5}$$

is the DeWitt metric,

$$(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}, \tag{4.6}$$

R the scalar curvature of the slices

$$\{x^0 = t\} \tag{4.7}$$

with respect to the metric $g_{ij}(t,\cdot)$, and where we also assumed that $\tilde{\Omega}$ is a cylinder

$$\tilde{\Omega} = (a,b)\times\Omega, \qquad \Omega \Subset \mathcal{S}_0, \tag{4.8}$$

such that $\tilde{\Omega}\subset U_k$ for some $k\in\mathbb{N}$, where the U_k are special coordinate patches of N such that there exists a local trivialization in U_k with the properties that there is a fixed Yang-Mills connection

$$\bar{A} = (\bar{A}^{\bar{a}}_{\mu}) = f_{\bar{a}}\bar{A}^{\bar{a}}_{\mu}dx^{\mu} \tag{4.9}$$

satisfying

$$\bar{A}^{\bar{a}}_0 = 0 \qquad \text{in } U_k, \tag{4.10}$$

cf. [10, Lemma 2.5]. We may then assume that the Yang-Mills connections $A = (A^{\bar{a}}_{\mu})$ are of the form

$$A^{\bar{a}}_{\mu}(t,x) = \bar{A}^{\bar{a}}_{\mu}(0,x) + \tilde{A}^{\bar{a}}_{\mu}(t,x), \tag{4.11}$$

where $(\tilde{A}^{\bar{a}}_{\mu})$ is a tensor, see [10, Section 2].

The Riemannian metrics $g_{ij}(t,\cdot)$ are elements of the bundle $T^{0,2}(\mathcal{S}_0)$. Denote by $\tilde{E}$ the fiber bundle with base $\mathcal{S}_0$ where the fibers $F(x)$ consists of the Riemannian metrics (g_{ij}). We shall consider each fiber to be a Lorentzian manifold equipped with the DeWitt metric. Each fiber F has dimension

$$\dim F = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \equiv m+1. \tag{4.12}$$

Let (ξ^r), $0\le r\le m$, be coordinates for a local trivialization such that

$$g_{ij}(x,\xi^r) \tag{4.13}$$

is a local embedding. The DeWitt metric is then expressed as

$$G_{rs} = G^{ij,kl}g_{ij,r}g_{kl,s}, \tag{4.14}$$

where a comma indicates partial differentiation. In the new coordinate system the curves

$$t\rightarrow g_{ij}(t,x) \tag{4.15}$$

can be written in the form

$$t\rightarrow \xi^r(t,x) \tag{4.16}$$

and we infer

$$G^{ij,kl}\dot{g}_{ij}\dot{g}_{kl} = G_{rs}\dot{\xi}^r\dot{\xi}^s. \tag{4.17}$$

Hence, we can express (4.4) as

$$J = \int_a^b\int_{\Omega}\alpha_n^{-1}\{\tfrac{1}{4}G_{rs}\dot{\xi}^r\dot{\xi}^s w^{-1}\varphi + (R-2\Lambda)w\varphi\}, \tag{4.18}$$

where we now refrain from writing down the density $\sqrt{\rho}$ explicitly, since it does not depend on (g_{ij}) and therefore should not be part of the Legendre transformation. Here we follow Mackey's advice in [14, p. 94] to always consider rectangular coordinates when applying canonical quantization, which can be rephrased that the Hamiltonian has to be a coordinate invariant, hence no densities are allowed.

Denoting the Lagrangian *function* in (4.18) by L, we define

$$\pi_r = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot\xi^r} = \varphi G_{rs} \frac{1}{2\alpha_N} \dot\xi^s w^{-1} \tag{4.19}$$

and we obtain for the Hamiltonian function $\hat H_G$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat H_G &= \dot\xi^r \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot\xi^r} - L \\ &= \varphi G_{rs} \big(\frac{1}{2\alpha_N} \dot\xi^r w^{-1}\big) \big(\frac{1}{2\alpha_N} \dot\xi^s w^{-1}\big) w \alpha_N - \alpha_N^{-1} (R - 2\Lambda) \varphi w \\ &= \varphi^{-1} G^{rs} \pi_r \pi_s w \alpha_N - \alpha_N^{-1} (R - 2\Lambda) \varphi w \\ &\equiv H_G w, \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where G^{rs} is the inverse metric. Hence,

$$H_G = \alpha_N \varphi^{-1} G^{rs} \pi_r \pi_s - \alpha_N^{-1} (R - 2\Lambda) \varphi \tag{4.21}$$

is the Hamiltonian that will enter the Hamilton constraint.

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian can be expressed as

$$L_{YM} = \tfrac12 \gamma_{\bar a \bar b} g^{ij} \tilde A^{\bar a}_{i,0} \tilde A^{\bar b}_{j,0} w^{-1} \varphi - \tfrac14 F_{ij} F^{ij} w \varphi. \tag{4.22}$$

Let E_0 be the adjoint bundle

$$E_0 = (S_0, \mathfrak{g}, \pi, \operatorname{Ad}(\mathcal{G})) \tag{4.23}$$

with base space $\mathcal{S}_0$, where the gauge transformations only depend on the spatial variables $x = (x^i)$. Then the mappings $t \rightarrow \tilde A^{\bar a}_i(t, \cdot)$ can be looked at as curves in $T^{1,0}(E_0) \otimes T^{0,1}(\mathcal{S}_0)$, where the fibers of $T^{1,0}(E_0) \otimes T^{0.1}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ are the tensor products

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes T^{0,1}_x(\mathcal{S}_0), \qquad x \in \mathcal{S}_0, \tag{4.24}$$

which are vector spaces equipped with metric

$$\gamma_{\bar a \bar b} \otimes g^{ij}. \tag{4.25}$$

For our purposes it is more convenient to consider the fibers to be Riemannian manifolds endowed with the above metric. Let (ζ^p), $1 \le p \le n_1 n$, where $n_0 = \dim \mathfrak{g}$, be local coordinates and

$$(\zeta^p) \rightarrow \tilde A^{\bar a}_i(\zeta^p) \equiv \tilde A(\zeta) \tag{4.26}$$

be a local embedding, then the metric has the coefficients

$$G_{pq} = \langle \tilde A_p, \tilde A_q \rangle = \gamma_{\bar a \bar b} g^{ij} \tilde A^{\bar a}_{i,p} \tilde A^{\bar b}_{j,q}. \tag{4.27}$$

Hence, the Lagrangian L_{YM} in (4.22) can be expressed in the form

$$L_{YM} = \tfrac12 G_{pq} \dot\zeta^p \dot\zeta^q w^{-1} \varphi - \tfrac14 F_{ij} F^{ij} w \varphi \tag{4.28}$$

and we deduce

$$\tilde{\pi}_p = \frac{\partial L_{YM}}{\partial \dot{\zeta}^p} = G_{pq}\dot{\zeta}^q w^{-1}\varphi \tag{4.29}$$

yielding the Hamilton function

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_{YM} &= \pi_p\dot{\zeta}^p - L_{YM}\\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}G_{pq}(\dot{\zeta}^p w^{-1}\varphi)(\dot{\zeta}^q w^{-1}\varphi)w\varphi^{-1} + \tfrac{1}{4}F_{ij}F^{ij}w\varphi\\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}G^{pq}\tilde{\pi}_p\tilde{\pi}_q w\varphi^{-1} + \tfrac{1}{4}F_{ij}F^{ij}w\varphi\\ &\equiv H_{YM}w.\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Thus, the Hamiltonian that will enter the Hamilton constraint equation is

$$H_{YM} = \tfrac{1}{2}\varphi^{-1}G^{pq}\tilde{\pi}_p\tilde{\pi}_q + \tfrac{1}{4}F_{ij}F^{ij}\varphi. \tag{4.31}$$

Using the Hamilton gauge as before the Higgs Lagrangian can be written as

$$L_H = \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}\Phi^{\bar{a}}_{,0}\Phi^{\bar{b}}_{,0}w^{-1}\varphi - \tfrac{1}{2}g^{ij}\gamma_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}\Phi^{\bar{a}}_i\Phi^{\bar{b}}_j w\varphi - V(\Phi)w\varphi \tag{4.32}$$

which we have to use for the Legendre transformation; here, we also assume without loss of generality that the coefficients of Φ are real without changing the notation or the indices though the number of components have doubled. However, later, when we define the fiber bundle, we shall use the correct real dimensions, i.e., we shall use $\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}$ instead of $\mathfrak{g}$. Before applying the Legendre transformation we again consider the vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ to be a Riemannian manifold with metric $\gamma_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}$. The representation of Φ in the form $(\Phi^{\bar{a}})$ can be looked at to be the representation in a local coordinate system $(\Theta^{\bar{a}})$.

Let us define

$$p_{\bar{a}} = \frac{\partial L_H}{\partial \dot{\Phi}^{\bar{a}}}, \qquad \dot{\Phi}^{\bar{a}} = \Phi^{\bar{a}}_{,0}, \tag{4.33}$$

then we obtain the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_H &= p_{\bar{a}}\dot{\Phi}^{\bar{a}} - L_H\\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}(\dot{\Phi}^{\bar{a}}w^{-1}\varphi)(\dot{\Phi}^{\bar{b}}w^{-1}\varphi)w\varphi^{-1} + \tfrac{1}{2}g^{ij}\gamma_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}\Phi^{\bar{a}}_i\Phi^{\bar{b}}_j w\varphi + V(\Phi)w\varphi\\ &\equiv H_H w.\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

Thus, the Hamiltonian which will enter the Hamilton constraint is

$$H_H = \tfrac{1}{2}\varphi^{-1}\gamma^{\bar{a}\bar{b}}p_{\bar{a}}p_{\bar{b}} + \tfrac{1}{2}g^{ij}\gamma_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}\Phi^{\bar{a}}_i\Phi^{\bar{b}}_j\varphi + V(\Phi)\varphi. \tag{4.35}$$

The spinorial variables χ^I_A are anticommuting Grassmann variables. They are elements of a Grassmann algebra with involution, where the involution corresponds to the complex conjugation and will be denoted by a bar.

The χ^I_A are complex variables and we define its real resp. imaginary parts as

$$\xi^I_A = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi^I_A + \bar{\chi}^I_A) \tag{4.36}$$

resp.

$$\eta^I_A = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}i}(\chi^I_A - \bar\chi^I_A). \tag{4.37}$$

Then,

$$\chi^I_A = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\xi^I_A + i\eta^I_A) \tag{4.38}$$

and

$$\bar\chi^I_A = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\xi^I_A - i\eta^I_A). \tag{4.39}$$

With these definitions we obtain

$$\frac{i}{2}(\bar\chi_I\dot\chi^I - \dot{\bar\chi}^I\chi_I) = \frac{i}{2}(\xi^A_I\dot\xi^I_A + \eta^A_I\dot\eta^I_A). \tag{4.40}$$

Casalbuoni quantized a Bose-Fermi system in [5, section 4] the results of which can be applied to spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions. The Lagrangian in [5] is the same as the main part our Lagrangian in (3.46) on page 10, and the left derivative is used in that paper, hence we are using left derivatives as well such that the conjugate momenta of the odd variables are, e.g.,

$$\pi^A_I = \frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot\xi^I_A} = -\frac{i}{2}\xi^A_I, \tag{4.41}$$

and thus the conclusions in [5] can be applied.

The Lagrangian has been expressed in real variables—at least the important part of it—and it follows that the odd variables ξ^I_A, η^I_A satisfy, after introducing anticommutative Dirac brackets as in [5, equ. (4.11)],

$$\{\xi^A_I, \xi^J_B\}^*_+ = -i\delta^J_I\delta^A_B, \tag{4.42}$$

$$\{\eta^A_I, \eta^J_B\}^*_+ = -i\delta^J_I\delta^A_B, \tag{4.43}$$

and

$$\{\xi^A_I, \eta^J_B\}^*_+ = 0, \tag{4.44}$$

cf. [5, equ. (4.19)].

In view of (4.38), (4.39) we then derive

$$\{\bar\chi^A_I, \chi^J_B\}^*_+ = -i\delta^J_I\delta^A_B. \tag{4.45}$$

Canonical quantization—with $\bar h = 1$—then requires that the corresponding operators $\hat\chi^I_A, \hat{\bar\chi}^B_J$ satisfy the anticommutative rules

$$[\hat\chi^I_A, \hat{\bar\chi}^B_J]_+ = i\{\chi^I_A, \bar\chi^B_J\}^*_+ = \delta^I_J\delta^B_A \tag{4.46}$$

and

$$[\hat{\bar\chi}^A_I, \hat{\bar\chi}^B_J]_+ = [\hat\chi^I_A, \hat\chi^J_B]_+ = 0, \tag{4.47}$$

cf. [4, equ. (3.10)] and [5, equ. (5.17)].

We shall realize these quantum rules in a suitable Grassmann algebra which will be equipped with a natural scalar product.

Let g_{ij} be an arbitrary but fixed Riemannian metric in $\mathcal{S}_0$ and define $N = I \times \mathcal{S}_0$ to be the Lorentz manifold endowed with the product metric

$$d\bar{s}^2 = -(dx^0)^2 + g_{ij}(x)dx^i dx^j. \tag{4.48}$$

Let $e_i^{a'}$ be an orthonormal frame on $\mathcal{S}_0$ and $E_{a'}^i$ its inverse. This orthonormal frame can be lifted to N by setting

$$e_0^a = \delta_0^a \qquad \wedge \qquad e_a^0 = \delta_a^0. \tag{4.49}$$

The orthonormal frame e_μ^a then satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.1 on page 6.

Let Γ_μ be the corresponding spin connection, then

$$\Gamma_0 = 0, \tag{4.50}$$

and hence, the spinorial gauge transformations only depend on $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$ and not on x^0, since any vielbein is supposed to satisfy (4.50).

Now, let χ_A^I be a spinor field in N, define

$$\psi_A^I(x) = \chi_A^I(0, x) \tag{4.51}$$

and let $\psi_A^I(t, x)$ be the solution of the flow

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D}{dt}\psi_A^I &\equiv E_0^\mu D_\mu \psi_A^I = 0, \\ \psi_A^I(0, x) &= \psi_A^I(x). \end{aligned} \tag{4.52}$$

Then, ψ_A^I is a spinor field in N satisfying

$$\psi_A^I(x^0, x) = \psi_A^I(x), \tag{4.53}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \frac{D}{dt}\psi &= \dot{\psi} + \Gamma_0\psi + A_0\psi \\ &= \dot{\psi}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.54}$$

In the following we shall only consider spinor fields with this property calling them spinor fields defined in $\mathcal{S}_0$ and we shall mostly use the symbol $\chi_A^I = \chi_A^I(x)$. We treat the components χ_A^I as Grassmann variables and define:

4.1. **Definition.** Let χ_A^i be Grassmann variables, then we define

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\chi_A^I) \tag{4.55}$$

to be the space of polynomial functions

$$u = a_0 + \sum_{k,m} a_{I_1\cdots I_k}^{A_1\cdots A_m} \chi_{A_1\cdots A_m}^{I_1\cdots I_k}, \tag{4.56}$$

where the coefficients are complex numbers being asymmetric in their indices. The indices are also supposed to satisfy

$$A_1 < \cdots < A_m \quad \wedge \quad I_1 < \cdots < I_k. \tag{4.57}$$

If the usual summation convention is supposed to be implemented, i.e., if the stipulation (4.57) is dropped, then

$$u = a_0 + \sum_{k,m} \frac{1}{k!m!} a^{A_1\cdots A_m}_{I_1\cdots I_k} \chi^{I_1\cdots I_k}_{A_1\cdots A_m}. \tag{4.58}$$

However, we prefer to use the representation (4.56) with the implicit understanding of (4.57).

4.2. **Remark.** The vector space $\mathcal{P}(\chi^I_A)$ is a Grassmann algebra with base vectors

$$\{\mathbb{1}, \chi^{I_1\cdots I_k}_{A_1\cdots A_m} : A_1 < \cdots < A_m \quad \wedge \quad I_1 < \cdots < I_k\}, \tag{4.59}$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ is the symbol for the unit element. If we define

$$\bar{\chi}^A_I = \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^I_A} \tag{4.60}$$

to be the left derivative and

$$\bar{u} = \bar{a}_0 + \sum_{k,m} \bar{a}^{I_1\cdots I_k}_{A_1\cdots A_m} \bar{\chi}^{A_1\cdots A_m}_{I_1\cdots I_k}, \tag{4.61}$$

where the indices of the coefficients are raised or lowered with the help of the metrics δ_{AB} resp. δ_{IK}, then $\bar{u}$ is a linear operator in $\mathcal{P}$.

Stipulating that the base vectors in (4.59) are orthonormal we can define a Hermitian scalar product in $\mathcal{P}$ which is antihermitian in the first argument.

Any function $u \in \mathcal{P}$ can also be viewed as a linear operator by defining

$$uv \equiv u(v) = uv, \tag{4.62}$$

where the right-hand side is the Grassmann product.

4.3. **Lemma.** *The operators χ^I_A and $\bar{\chi}^A_I$ satisfy the anticommutation rules*

$$[\bar{\chi}^A_I, \chi^K_B]_+ = \delta^A_B \delta^K_I \tag{4.63}$$

and in addition

$$\bar{\chi}^A_I = (\chi^I_A)^*, \tag{4.64}$$

where the star indicates the adjoint operator.

The proof is elementary.

Spinorial or Yang-Mills gauge transformations then induce unitary transformations in $\mathcal{P}$.

The following lemma is worth noting:

4.4. **Lemma.** *Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a Hermitian scalar product in $\mathcal{P}$ which is antihermitian in the first argument and satisfies (4.64), or equivalently,*

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^I_A}\right)^* = \chi^I_A \tag{4.65}$$

as well as

$$\langle \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1} \rangle = 1, \tag{4.66}$$

then the base vectors in (4.59) *are orthonormal, hence it is uniquely determined.*

The proof is an easy exercise.

After having realized the quantization rules (4.46) on page 14 in the Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{P}$, let us look at the spinorial Hamilton function and its corresponding Hamilton operator after quantization.

From Lemma 3.3 on page 10 we deduce that the spinorial Hamilton function is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_D &= \tfrac{i}{2}\{\bar{\chi}_I \gamma^0 E^k_{a'} \gamma^{a'} \tilde{D}_k \chi^I - \overline{\bar{\chi}_I \gamma^0 E^k_{a'} \gamma^{a'} \tilde{D}_k \chi^I}\} w \\ &\quad - mi\bar{\chi}_I \gamma^0 \chi^I w \\ &\equiv H_D w. \end{aligned} \tag{4.67}$$

H_D is the Hamilton function which has to be quantized. By applying the definitions in (4.60), (4.62) and the results in Lemma 4.3 it is obvious that H_D can looked at as a self-adjoint operator in the finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{P}$ without changing its notation.

Defining H_D to be an element of $L(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P})$ is fairly straight-forward—only the transformation of the covariant derivative, or more precisely, of the partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \chi^I_A \tag{4.68}$$

requires some consideration.

For simplicity let us drop the spinor index A such that we only consider the Grassmann variables χ^I. To express their partial derivatives let ψ^K be Grassmann variables which do not depend on x, then

$$\chi^I = a^I_k \psi^K, \tag{4.69}$$

where $a^I_K = a^I_K(x)$. Let

$$(\hat{a}^K_I) = (a^I_K)^{-1}, \tag{4.70}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^I_{,k} &= a^I_{K,k} \psi^K \\ &= a^I_{K,k} \hat{a}^K_M \chi^M \end{aligned} \tag{4.71}$$

and we immediately infer how $\chi^I_{,k}$ can be transformed to be a linear operator in $\mathcal{P}$.

Combining the four Hamilton functions in (4.20), (4.31), (4.35) and (4.67) the Hamilton constraint has the form

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H_G + H_{YM} + H_H + H_D = 0 \\ &\equiv \tilde{H} + H_D, \end{aligned} \tag{4.72}$$

where

$$H=H(\xi^r,\zeta^p,\Theta^{\bar a},\tilde\Theta^{\bar b},\pi_r,\tilde\pi_q,p_{\bar c},\tilde p_{\bar d},\chi^I_A,\bar\chi^B_J). \tag{4.73}$$

Here, $(\xi^r,\zeta^p,\Theta^{\bar a},\tilde\Theta^{\bar b})$ are local sections of a bundle E with base space $\mathcal{S}_0$ and fibers

$$\mathcal{F}(x)=F(x)\times(\mathfrak{g}\otimes T^{0,1}_x(\mathcal{S}_0))\times\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}. \tag{4.74}$$

Applying canonical quantization by setting $\hbar=1$ we replace

$$\pi_r=\pi_r(x)\rightarrow\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi^r(x)} \tag{4.75}$$

and similarly for the other conjugate momenta $\tilde\pi_q$, $p_{\bar c}$, and $\tilde p_{\bar d}$, while the conjugate momentum $\bar\chi^A_I$ is being replaced by the left derivative with respect to the Grassmann variable χ^I_A as described previously.

The Hamiltonian $\tilde H$ will be transformed to a normally hyperbolic differential operator in the bundle E acting only in the fibers where the fibers in (4.74) are equipped with the Lorentzian metric

$$G=\varphi\operatorname{diag}(\alpha_N^{-1}G_{rs},2G_{pq},2\gamma_{\bar a\bar b},2\gamma_{\bar c\bar d}). \tag{4.76}$$

The fibers are then globally hyperbolic spacetimes as we proved in [10, Theorem 4.1].

Let $\hat E$ be the vector bundle with base space E and fiber $\mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the complex Hilbert space discussed above. After quantization the Hamilton function $H=\tilde H+H_D$ is replaced by normally hyperbolic differential operator, also denoted by H, which can be looked at as a map from the sections $C^\infty_c(E,\hat E)$ into itself. The linear map H_D is part of the zero order term of H.

The Wheeler-DeWitt equation has the form

$$Hu=0 \tag{4.77}$$

with $u\in C^\infty(E,\hat E)$.

Let ρ_{ij} in $\mathcal{S}_0$ be the fixed metric which is used to define φ and let each fiber $\mathcal{F}(x)$ of E be equipped with the Lorentz metric G_{ab} in (4.76), then there exists a natural measure on E and we can define a scalar product in $C^\infty_c(E,\hat E)$ by setting

$$\langle u,v\rangle_{\hat E}=\int_{\mathcal{S}_0}\int_{\mathcal{F}(x)}\langle u,v\rangle, \tag{4.78}$$

where $\langle u,v\rangle$ is the scalar product in $\mathcal{P}$. With respect to this scalar product H is symmetric, i.e.,

$$\langle Hu,v\rangle_{\hat E}=\langle u,Hv\rangle_{\hat E}\qquad\forall\,u,v\in C^\infty_c(E,\hat E), \tag{4.79}$$

since Hu can be expressed as

$$Hu=-\varDelta u+cu. \tag{4.80}$$

The self-adjoint operator H_D is part of the coefficient c. Let us emphazise that, apart from H_D, H is acting diagonally on each component of u.

5. The method of second quantization

In the previous sections we used canonical quantization to quantize a classical system leading to the Wheeler-DeWitt equation which can be solved subject to Cauchy conditions. Indeed the solution space will be infinite dimensional.

To describe the existence results and the necessary techniques we first need a definition:

5.1. **Definition.** A Cauchy hypersurface in the bundle E is a subbundle M with same base space $\mathcal{S}_0$ such that each fiber $M(x)$ is a Cauchy hypersurface in the corresponding fiber $\mathcal{F}(x)$ of E.

The non-homogeneous Cauchy problems for the Hamilton operator H are then uniquely solvable:

5.2. **Theorem.** *Let $M \subset E$ be a Cauchy hypersurface with future directed normal ν, H the Hamilton operator, u_0, u_1 resp. f sections in $C^\infty_c(M, \hat{E})$ resp. $C^\infty_c(E, \hat{E})$, then the Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{aligned} Hu &= f, \\ u_{|_M} &= u_0, \\ u_\alpha \nu^\alpha{}_{|_M} &= u_1, \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

has a unique solution $u \in C^\infty(E, \hat{E})$ such that

$$\operatorname{supp} u \subset J^E(K) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{S}_0} J^{F(x)}(K(x)), \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$K = \operatorname{supp} u_0 \cup \operatorname{supp} u_1 \cup \operatorname{supp} f \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$K(x) = K \cap \pi^{-1}(x), \qquad x \in \mathcal{S}_0, \tag{5.4}$$

π is the projection from E to $\mathcal{S}_0$. Furthermore,

$$J^E(K) = J^E_+(K) \cup J^E_-(K) \tag{5.5}$$

and

$$J^E_\pm(K) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{S}_0} J^{F(x)}_\pm(K(x)); \tag{5.6}$$

these are the points that can be reached by causal curves starting in K*. Moreover,* u *depends continuously on the data* (u_0, u_1, f) *with corresponding estimates, namely, for any compact sets* $K, K_1 \subset N$ *and* $K_0 \subset M$ *and any* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *there exists* $m' \in \mathbb{N}$ *and a constant* $c = c(m, m', K, K_0, K_1)$ *such that*

$$|u|_{m,K} \le c\{|u_0|_{m',K_0} + |u_1|_{m',K_0} + |f|_{m',K_1}\}, \tag{5.7}$$

where u *is a solution of the Cauchy problem and* u_0*,* u_1 *and* f *have support in the respective sets* K_0 *and* K_1*.*

A proof is given in [11, Theorem 5.4] based on the results in [2, Theorem 3.2.11, Theorem 3.2.12]. Our former proof only considered functions in $C^\infty(E, \mathbb{C})$ but it is also valid in the more general setting when $u \in C^\infty(E, \hat{E})$.

5.3. **Remark.** The solutions u in the preceding theorem do not have compact support in E, but from (5.2) we deduce that their support is spacelike compact, since the fibers are globally hyperbolic. We use the notation $C^\infty_{sc}(E, \hat{E})$ for the set of all such $u \in C^\infty(E, \hat{E})$ for which there exists a compact subset $K \subset E$ such that

$$\operatorname{supp} u \subset J^E(K), \tag{5.8}$$

cf. the corresponding definition in [2, Definition 3.4.5]. Sections with spacelike compact support have the important property that the intersection of $\operatorname{supp} u$ with any Cauchy hypersurface is compact, cf. [2, Corollary A.5.4].

From Theorem 5.2 we deduce that there exist the advanced and retarded Green distributions G_+ and G_- for H such that

$$G_\pm : C^\infty_c(E, \hat{E}) \to C^\infty(E, \hat{E}) \tag{5.9}$$

$$H \circ G_\pm = G_\pm \circ H_{|_{C^\infty_c(E,\hat{E})}} = \operatorname{id}_{C^\infty_c(E,\hat{E})} \tag{5.10}$$

$$\operatorname{supp}(G_+ u) \subset J^E_+(\operatorname{supp} u) \qquad \forall\, u \in C^\infty_c(E, \hat{E}) \tag{5.11}$$

and

$$\operatorname{supp}(G_- u) \subset J^E_-(\operatorname{supp} u) \qquad \forall\, u \in C^\infty_c(E, \hat{E}). \tag{5.12}$$

There are two ways to construct a Weyl system given a formally selfadjoint normally hyperbolic operator in a globally hyperbolic spacetime or, in our case, in the bundle E. One possibility is to consider the bundle $\hat{E}$ to be a real bundle, i.e., the fibers $\mathcal{P}$ are treated as a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ with scalar product $\operatorname{Re}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and to define a symplectic vector space

$$V = C^\infty_c(E, \hat{E})/N(G), \tag{5.13}$$

where G is the Green's distribution

$$G = G_+ - G_-. \tag{5.14}$$

Since

$$G^* = -G \tag{5.15}$$

the bilinear form

$$\omega = \int_{\mathcal{S}_0}\int_{\mathcal{F}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, Gv\rangle \qquad u, v \in V \tag{5.16}$$

is skew-symmetric, non-degenerate by definition and hence symplectic, and then there is a canonical way to construct a corresponding Weyl system.

The second method is to use a Cauchy hypersurface to define a quantum field in Fock space. Let us start with this method and let assume in the following that $\mathcal{P}$ is a real vector space with scalar product $\operatorname{Re}\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$.

First we need the following lemma which was proved in [11, Lemma 6.1] when u, v are test functions but the proof is also valid in the more general case when u, v are sections with values in a complex Hilbert space though, when we apply this lemma, we shall replace the Hermitian scalar product by $\operatorname{Re}\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$.

5.4. **Lemma.** *Let M be a Cauchy hypersurface in E, then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_0}\int_{\mathcal{F}} \langle u, Gv\rangle = \int_{\mathcal{S}_0}\int_M \{\langle D_\nu(Gu), Gv\rangle - \langle Gu, D_\nu(Gv)\rangle\} \tag{5.17}$$

for all $u, v \in C_c^\infty(E, \hat{E})$, where ν is the future normal to M and the scalar product is the standard scalar product in $\hat{E}$.

We now define the complex Hilbert space H_M which is used to construct the symmetric Fock space, namely, we set

$$H_M = L^2(M, \hat{E}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \tag{5.18}$$

to be the complexification of the real vector space $L^2(M, \hat{E})$ with the complexified scalar product

$$\langle u, v\rangle_M = \int_{\mathcal{S}_0}\int_M \langle u, v\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}, \tag{5.19}$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complexification of $\operatorname{Re}\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ in $\mathcal{P}\otimes\mathbb{C}$.

We denote the symmetric Fock space by $\mathcal{F}(H_M)$. Let Θ be the corresponding Segal field. Since $G^* = -G$ we deduce from (5.11), (5.12) and Remark 5.3

$$G^* u_{|_M} \in C_c^\infty(M, \hat{E}) \subset H_M. \tag{5.20}$$

We can therefore define

$$\Phi_M(u) = \Theta(i(G^*u)_{|_M} - D_\nu(G^*u)_{|_M}). \tag{5.21}$$

From the proof of [2, Lemma 4.6.8] we conclude that the right-hand side of (5.21) is an essentially self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{F}(H_M)$. We therefore call the map Φ_M from $C_c^\infty(E, \hat{E})$ to the set of self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{F}(H_M)$ a quantum field for H defined by M.

5.5. **Lemma.** *The quantum field* $\varPhi_M$ *satisfies the equation*

$$H\varPhi_M = 0 \tag{5.22}$$

in the distributional sense, i.e.,

$$\langle H\varPhi_M, u\rangle = \langle \varPhi_M, Hu\rangle = \varPhi_M(Hu) = 0 \quad \forall\, u \in C_c^\infty(E, \hat{E}). \tag{5.23}$$

Proof. In view of (5.10) there holds

$$G^*Hu = 0 \qquad \forall\, u \in C_c^\infty(E, \hat{E}). \tag{5.24}$$

$\square$

With the help of the quantum field $\varPhi_M$ we shall construct a Weyl system and hence a CCR representation of the symplectic vector space (V, ω) which we defined in (5.13) and (5.16).

From (5.21) we conclude the commutator relation

$$[\varPhi_M(u), \varPhi_M(v)] = i\,\mathrm{Im}\langle iG^*u - D_\nu(G^*u), iG^*v - D_\nu(G^*v)\rangle_M I, \tag{5.25}$$

for all $u, v \in C_c^\infty(E, \hat{E})$, cf. [3, Proposition 5.2.3], where both sides are defined in the algebraic Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{\text{alg}}(H_M)$.

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Im}\langle iG^*u - D_\nu(G^*u), iG^*v - D_\nu(G^*v)\rangle_M \\
&= -\,\mathrm{Im}\langle iG^*u, D_\nu(G^*v)\rangle_M - \mathrm{Im}\langle D\nu(G^*u), iG^*v\rangle_M \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{S}_0}\int_M \{\mathrm{Re}\langle G^*u, D_\nu(G^*v)\rangle - \mathrm{Re}\langle D_\nu(G^*u), G^*v\rangle\} \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{S}_0}\int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathrm{Re}\langle u, Gv\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

in view of (5.15) and (5.17).

As a corollary we conclude

$$[\varPhi_M(u), \varPhi_M(v)] = i\int_{\mathcal{S}_0}\int_M \mathrm{Re}\langle u, Gv\rangle I \quad \forall\, u, v \in C_c^\infty(E, \hat{E}). \tag{5.27}$$

From [3, Proposition 5.2.3] and (5.26) we immediately infer

5.6. **Theorem.** *Let* (V, ω) *be the symplectic vector in* (5.13) *and* (5.16) *and denote by* $[u]$ *the equivalence classes in* V*, then*

$$W([u]) = e^{i\varPhi_M(u)} \tag{5.28}$$

defines a Weyl system for (V, ω)*, where* $\varPhi_M(u)$ *is now supposed to be the closure of* $\varPhi_M(u)$ *in* $\mathcal{F}(H_M)$*, i.e.,* $\varPhi_M(u)$ *is a self-adjoint operator. The Weyl system generates a* C^**-algebra with unit which we call a CCR representation of* (V, ω)*.*

5.7. **Remark.** Since all CCR representations of (V,ω) are *-isomorphic, where the isomorphism maps Weyl systems to Weyl systems, cf. [3, Theorem 5.2.8], this especially applies to the CCR representations corresponding to different Cauchy hypersurfaces M and M', i.e., there exists a *-isomorphism T such that

$$T(e^{i\Phi_M(u)}) = e^{i\Phi_{M'}(u)} \qquad \forall\,[u] \in V. \tag{5.29}$$

Let us conclude this section with the following important theorem:

5.8. **Theorem.** *Let H and G be as above and define*

$$N(H) = \{\, u \in C^\infty_{\text{sc}}(E,\hat{E})\colon Hu = 0 \,\}, \tag{5.30}$$

then

$$N(H) = R(G) \tag{5.31}$$

and

$$N(G) = R(H), \tag{5.32}$$

where $R(G)$ resp. $R(H)$ are the images of $C^\infty_c(E,\hat{E})$ under the respective maps.

The proof of this theorem is an adaption of the proof of the corresponding result in [2, Theorem 3.4.7] when E is not a bundle but a globally hyperbolic manifold.

6. The Haag-Kastler axioms

Dimock generalized in [7] the Haag-Kastler axioms for local observables in Minkowski space by considering local observables in a general globally hyperbolic spacetime. Dimock's ideas can also be applied in the present situation.

6.1. **Definition.** Let $\emptyset \neq \Omega \subset E$ be an open relatively compact set and M a Cauchy hypersurface in E, then we define $\mathcal{A}_M(\Omega)$ to be the C^*-algebra generated by

$$\{\, e^{i\Phi_M(u)}\colon u \in C^\infty_c(\Omega,\hat{E}) \,\}. \tag{6.1}$$

We also define $\mathcal{A}_M$ to be the C^*-algebra generated by

$$\{\, e^{i\Phi_M(u)}\colon u \in C^\infty_c(E,\hat{E}) \,\}. \tag{6.2}$$

Finally, we define

$$\Lambda = \{\, \Omega \subset E\colon \emptyset \neq \Omega \;\wedge\; \Omega \text{ open and relatively compact} \,\}. \tag{6.3}$$

6.2. **Remark.** Let M, M' be two Cauchy hypersurfaces in E and

$$T : \mathcal{A}_M \to \mathcal{A}_{M'} \tag{6.4}$$

the *-isomorphism in Remark 5.7 on page 23, then

$$T(\mathcal{A}_M(\varOmega)) = \mathcal{A}_{M'}(\varOmega) \qquad \forall\, \varOmega \in \varLambda. \tag{6.5}$$

The collection

$$\{\, \mathcal{A}_M(\varOmega\colon\, \varOmega \in \varLambda\,\} \tag{6.6}$$

forms a *net* of subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}_M$ as defined in [12, Definition 2].

Dimock considered these nets of local algebras in case when E is a globally hyperbolic spacetime and listed five axioms satisfied by them. Four of the axioms are also valid in the present situation and will be described subsequently. The fifth, the so-called covariance axiom, is a bit more difficult to translate. The bundles and the operators are certainly covariant with respect to coordinate and gauge transformations, but the covariance axiom postulates that an isometry of the underlying spacetime should induce an isomorphism of the local algebras. At the moment we do not know how to translate this axiom.

We shall now list the four axioms for a fixed Cauchy hypersurface $M \subset E$.

6.3. **Axiom 1** The family $\{\, \mathcal{A}_M(\varOmega\colon\, \varOmega \in \varLambda\,\}$ forms a net of local observables, i.e.,

$$\varOmega \subset \varOmega' \implies \mathcal{A}_\varOmega \subset \mathcal{A}_{\varOmega'} \tag{6.7}$$

and $\mathcal{A}_M$ is the closure of

$$\bigcup_{\varOmega \in \varLambda} \mathcal{A}_M(\varOmega). \tag{6.8}$$

This axiom is certainly satisfied as well as

6.4. **Axiom 2** (Primitivity) $\mathcal{A}_M$ is primitive, i.e., it has a faithful irreducible representation.

6.5. **Axiom 3** (First causality) If $\varOmega$ is spacelike separated from $\varOmega'$, then

$$[\mathcal{A}_M(\varOmega), \mathcal{A}_M(\varOmega')] = 0. \tag{6.9}$$

Spacelike separated means that there is no causal curve joining a point in $\varOmega$ to a point in $\varOmega'$.

This axiom is also satisfied since we deduce from (5.11), (5.12) on page 20, Theorem 5.6 on page 22 and the properties of a Weyl system

$$W([u])W([v]) = W([u] + [v]) = W([v])W([u]) \tag{6.10}$$

for all $(u, v) \in C^\infty_c(\varOmega, \hat{E}) \times C^\infty_c(\varOmega', \hat{E})$.

6.6. **Axiom 4** (Second causality) If Ω is causally dependent on Ω', then

$$\mathcal{A}_M(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{A}_M(\Omega'). \tag{6.11}$$

Ω is said to be causally dependent on Ω' if there exists a Cauchy hypersurface M' such that every endless causal curve through $p \in \Omega$ intersects $M' \cap \Omega'$. Hence, if $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \hat{E})$, then

$$\operatorname{supp}(Gu) \cap M' \subset J^E(\operatorname{supp} u) \cap M' \subset \Omega'. \tag{6.12}$$

From the arguments in [7, p. 226] we then deduce that there exists $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega')$ such that

$$Gu = Gv \tag{6.13}$$

and we conclude

$$\Phi_M(u) = \Phi_M(v) \tag{6.14}$$

and therefore

$$\mathcal{A}_M(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{A}_M(\Omega'). \tag{6.15}$$

Dimock only considered the Klein-Gordon operator but his arguments are valid for any self-adjoint normally hyperbolic operator.

References

[1] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. W. Misner, *The dynamics of general relativity*, Gravitation: an introduction to current research (Louis Witten, ed.), Wiley, 1962, pp. 227–265.

[2] Christian Baer, Nicolas Ginoux, and Frank Pfaeffle, *Wave Equations on Lorentzian Manifolds and Quantization*, 2008, arXiv:0806.1036.

[3] Ola Bratteli and Derek W. Robinson, *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics. 2*, second ed., Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, Equilibrium states. Models in quantum statistical mechanics.

[4] R. Casalbuoni, *On the quantization of systems with anticommuting variables*, Il Nuovo Cimento A (1971–1996) **33** (1976), no. 1, 115–125, doi:10.1007/BF02748689.

[5] ———, *The classical mechanics for Bose-Fermi systems*, Il Nuovo Cimento A (1971–1996) **33** (1976), no. 3, 389–431, doi:10.1007/BF02729860.

[6] Bryce S. DeWitt, *Quantum Theory of Gravity. I. The Canonical Theory*, Phys. Rev. **160** (1967), 1113–1148, doi:10.1103/PhysRev.160.1113.

[7] J. Dimock, *Algebras of local observables on a manifold*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **77** (1980), 219–228, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01269921.

[8] Paul A. M. Dirac, *Lectures on quantum mechanics*, Belfer Graduate School of Science Monographs Series, vol. 2, Belfer Graduate School of Science, New York, 1967, Second printing of the 1964 original.

[9] Tohru Eguchi, Peter B. Gilkey, and Andrew J. Hanson, *Gravitation, gauge theories and differential geometry*, Phys. Rept. **66** (1980), 213, doi:10.1016/0370-1573(80)90130-1.

[10] Claus Gerhardt, *A unified quantum theory I: gravity interacting with a Yang-Mills field*, 2012, arXiv:1207.0491.

[11] ———, *The quantization of gravity in globally hyperbolic spacetimes*, 2012, arXiv:1205.1427.

[12] R. Haag, R.V. Kadison, and D. Kastler, *Nets of C^*-algebras and classification of states*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **16** (1970), 81–104, doi:10.1007/BF01646615.

[13] Claus Kiefer, *Quantum Gravity*, 2nd ed., International Series of Monographs on Physics, Oxford University Press, 2007.

[14] George W. Mackey, *The mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics: A lecture-note volume*, W., A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1963.

[15] Thomas Thiemann, *Modern canonical quantum general relativity*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007, With a foreword by Chris Isham.

Ruprecht-Karls-Universität, Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Im Neuenheimer Feld 294, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
E-mail address: `gerhardt@math.uni-heidelberg.de`
URL: `http://www.math.uni-heidelberg.de/studinfo/gerhardt/`